UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN NORTHERN DIVISION

	NORTHERN DIVISION		
In re: CHAR	ELES J. SMITH	CASE NO. 21-20986-dob HON. DANIEL S. OPPERMAN	
	Debtor(s).	CHAPTER 13 PROCEED	
	DEBTOR(S) RESPONS	E TO TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO DISMISS	
"Natio	Debtor(s), by counsel, respond to Nanwide"), Motion to Dismiss as follows:	tionwide Judgment Recovery, Inc.'s (hereinafter	
1.	Admit.		
2.	Admit.		
3.	Neither admit nor deny for the rea	son that the allegation sets forth a conclusion of law and	
	therefore no answer is required.		
4.	Neither admit nor deny for the rea	son that the allegation sets forth a conclusion of law and	
	therefore no answer is required.		
5.	Neither admit nor deny for the rea	son that the allegation sets forth a conclusion of law and	
	therefore no answer is required.		
6.	Neither admit nor deny.		
7.	No response necessary.		
8.	Neither admit nor deny.		
9.	Neither admit nor deny but leave	the Nationwide to their proofs.	
10	. No response necessary.		
11	. No response necessary.		
12	. No response necessary.		

- 13. No response necessary.
- 14. Neither admit nor deny for the reason that the allegation sets forth a conclusion of law and therefore no answer is required.
- 15. Neither admit nor deny for the reason that the allegation sets forth a conclusion of law and therefore no answer is required.
- 16. Neither admit nor deny for the reason that the allegation sets forth a conclusion of law and therefore no answer is required.
- 17. Neither admit nor deny but leave the Nationwide to their proofs.
- 18. Neither admit nor deny for the reason that the allegation sets forth a conclusion of law and therefore no answer is required.
- 19. Neither admit nor deny for the reason that the allegation sets forth a conclusion of law and therefore no answer is required.
- 20. Neither admit nor deny for the reason that the allegation sets forth a conclusion of law and therefore no answer is required.
- 21. Neither admit nor deny for the reason that the allegation sets forth a conclusion of law and therefore no answer is required.
- 22. Neither admit nor deny for the reason that the allegation sets forth a conclusion of law and therefore no answer is required.
- 23. Neither admit nor deny for the reason that the allegation sets forth a conclusion of law and therefore no answer is required.
- 24. No response necessary.

WHEREFORE, Debtor(s)' request that Nationwide's motion be denied and the order attached as

Exhibit 1 be entered.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 29, 2021

/s/Michael J. Shovan Michael J. Shovan Attorney for Debtor(s) 6620 Weiss Street Saginaw MI 48603 (989) 847-6030 mikeshovan@gmail.com P43362

EXHIBIT 1

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN NORTHERN DIVISION

In re:	
CHARLES J. SMITH	CASE NO. 21-20986-dob
	HON. DANIEL S. OPPERMAN
Debtor(s).	CHAPTER 13 PROCEED

ORDER DENYING TRUSTEE'S MOTION TO DISMISS

After a hearing on the matter and this Court being advised,

IT IS ORDERED:

Nationwide Judgment Recovery, Inc.'s Motion to Dismiss is DENIED.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN NORTHERN DIVISION

In re:	
CHARLES J. SMITH	CASE NO. 21-20986-dob
	HON. DANIEL S. OPPERMAN
Debtor(s).	CHAPTER 13 PROCEED

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

On the date set forth below, the undersigned served DEBTOR(S) RESPONSE TO NATIONWIDE JUDGMENT RECOVERY, INC.'S MOTION TO DISMISS & PROPOSED ORDER electronically through the CM/ECF system on all participants and/or by first class mail on all interested parties including the Debtor(s) at their address of record.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 29, 2021

/s/Michael J. Shovan Michael J. Shovan Attorney for Debtor(s) 6620 Weiss Street Saginaw MI 48603 (989) 847-6030 mikeshovan@gmail.com P43362